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Abstract

Sree Narayana Guru re-presents Vedanta as a Science of Consciousness or Pure Epistemology in his work Arivu, conceiving Consciousness as the one all underlying Reality that assumes the form of all the worlds both as mental and physical. The Guru has proved that the essence of individual and external world is Consciousness. Consciousness shines forth inwardly as the subjective experience and outwardly as the objective experience. The one consciousness and its manifest forms do not exist one without the other, this oneness of oneself, the world and the Consciousness cannot be intellectually conceived but to be intuitively experienced. Guru’s epistemology provides ample scope for the problems of Consciousness such as the relation between subject and object, mind and matter, the origin of life from matter or Consciousness etc., Awareness of Guru’s unitive vision helps one to solve the problems of life and ensures enduring peace in life.
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1. Introduction

Sree Narayana Guru re-presents Vedanta as a Science of Consciousness or Pure Epistemology in terms easily understandable to the mindset of a modern man, in one of his works in Malayalam entitled Arivu or Epistemology of Gnosis, conceiving Consciousness as the one all underlying reality that assumes the form of all the worlds both as mental and physical. This analysis of Consciousness provides an answer to the challenging problems of consciousness posed by the modern cognitive scientists and philosophers investigating the philosophy of mind as well as all other problems of philosophy and life. Hence the Guru’s epistemology has ample relevance which this study intends to bring out.

Sree Narayana Guru is one of the greatest mystical philosophers of the modern world, who lived in India from 1856 to 1928 AD. Basically the Guru was an Advaita Vedantin, a follower of Sree Sankara. Re-introducing the same wisdom in the modern Age of Science, giving full recognition to the scientific mindset of the days in which he lived, was what Narayana Guru did.

Narayana Guru’s philosophy includes Metaphysics, Epistemology, Logic, Ethics, Religion, Social speculations etc., and therefore it is all comprehensive; but first and foremost the Guru is an epistemologist. In his philosophical works the Guru always uses the terms Atma, Arivu, Cit and Consciousness rather than Brahman to denote the Ultimate Reality. This peculiarity itself shows that the primary concern of the Guru is epistemic.

The Guru in his Philosophical works gives us an overall epistemology, and a unifying scheme of correlation by which all schools of thought, both Eastern and Western, can be assigned their legitimate place in the general scheme of human understanding.

Epistemology is the philosophical study that investigates the origin, structure, methods and validity of knowledge. Each school of thought has its own epistemological doctrine.

Knowledge or consciousness alone is the theme Vedanta deals with. Vedanta is itself thus epistemology in its broadest sense. Hence there is no relevance of a separate section for it in Vedanta.

The revision of the Guru on Vedanta and his neutrality between skepticism and belief or as between a posteriori and apriori gives to it a normative scientific status. It at once puts his metaphysical speculations on par with that of

physics. A careful scrutiny of his epistemology will reveal the neutral – normative nature of the position that the Guru maintains in his attitude which is intended to be fully universal and scientific, neither taking the side of the believer nor of the skeptic, the orthodox or the heterodox of any traditional context of any time or any part of the world. It is here the Guru’s epistemology excels in being scientific.

Guru’s work ‘Arivu’ is an in-depth philosophical examination of consciousness which seems to have not been undertaken till now either in the East or West except for the few studies of the Western psychologists and a few studies on the philosophy of mind by Western thinkers.

In his work Arivu the Guru says there is only one Arivu or knowledge and there is nothing to fall outside its periphery. Knowledge is the only copying stone of existence. All realistic and finite knowledge is within the ambit of the one and infinite knowledge. Here arise questions like: What is the essential content of this Consciousness which is always one and changeless and appears to be constantly changing? How does the same knowledge function as the subject, object and knowledge? All these problems are examined by the Guru in an original way.

The questions are answered in a dialectically conceived way rather than resorting to logical reasoning. That means the basic stand that Atma or Brahman, which is unconditioned Consciousness in essence, is the one all underlying Reality and is made a firm basis for solution to all problems. If the one Reality forms one pole of the dialectical situation, at the other pole is placed each of the problem and solution to them as arrived at by relating each to the one Reality as its manifestation.

The essential oneness of consciousness could be seen emphasised in the Upanishads. Narayana Guru, in his Vedanta Sutras (Sutra 3) defines consciousness as tat Jyotih:--- that effulgence.¹

In verse 27 of his Atmopadesa Satakam, Guru gives a precise and scientific definition of Atma or Consciousness, “Sitting in the dark, that which knows itself is Atma” (Nitya, Neither This Nor That But Aum).

In verse 99 of Guru’s Atmopadesa Satakañ, Guru says: knowledge and I (consciousness) -- both are one to him for whom the veil is removed; to another there is doubt.

In verse 59 of the same work the Guru says:

without knowledge I do not exist;
without me there is no knowledge; light alone is.

thus, both knowledge and knower, when contemplated,
are of one substance; there can be no doubt.

In Verse 50 of the Ātmopadesa Satakañ the Guru reveals that all is not other than cit, pure Unconditioned Consciousness.

In Verse 20 of the same work, the Guru says the world has no other reality.

Guru, in verses 10 and 11 of Ātmopadesa Satakam, presents an experimental situation, before us which in precise, is as follows:

Two men are sitting in the dark. One asks, “who is there?” to which he receives the reply,” It is I, who are you”? He too answers “It is I”.²

The question then is to know the real nature and substance of the ‘I’ common to both – In the dark, unaware of anything external each is aware of his own self-existence, his ‘I’.

Narayana Guru proves also how it is one Consciousness alone that unfolds itself as everything, particularly in his Advaita Dīpika.

The Guru adapts the analytical method of science in the present verse.

Someone, intending to know the real content in a piece of cloth, an object that forms a part of the world, subjects it to analysis. The piece of cloth, in the first step, gets transformed into yarn, the former disappearing in the latter. Analysed further, the yarn turns out to be cotton fibres, in content; again the former disappears in the latter. Fibres are further analysed. They, according to the modern scientific view, become transformed into molecules containing atoms of elements like carbon and oxygen. These atoms, analysed further, are found to be different energy particles in essence, all of them being various forms in which one energy-reality appears. Its existence is undeniable because we know that it exists. That means, what is undeniably real is knowledge or consciousness alone. It is Consciousness, in its functional states, that assumes the form of energy, atoms, molecules, fibre, yarn, cloth and even the visible world of which the cloth is a part, all disappearing in the reality of Consciousness.³

---


Cit was thus ascertained as the all underlying sat. The Guru states in Darsanamala Chapter II verse 8 that this Cit itself is what manifests phenomenally as everything, and it does not remain without manifesting.

This idea is given in Bhagavad Gita chapter II.6

Resorting to the logical and traditional methods Guru has proved that the reality of individual and external world is Consciousness.

Narayana Guru has tackled all the epistemological problems.

2. Origin of knowledge

Everything originates from the one cit or Consciousness and hence the origin of any specific knowledge also has to be the same Cit.

According to the Guru, in every event of knowing, there is the coming together of two sides; the subject and the object. The subject Cit becomes manifested in a subtle form. In the case of an object, the same cit becomes manifest with a gross form. The subject and object thus are nothing but one cit shining forth in two different forms.

Cit never remains functionless because of the Karma inherent in it. This Karma – urge is there in every apparent form that emerges in the Cit as well; both subject and object have it. This urge impels these two to get into contact with each other in order that they could forgo their apparent differences and realize their essential oneness. This realization of the essential oneness of subject and object is what we usually experience as specific knowledge. But we remain ignorant of it, just because of avidya.

3. The structure of knowledge

Consciousness manifests itself as the knowing function and the known – the objective world. The structural pattern in which Consciousness manifests itself as its knowing function is examined by the Guru in verses 36 to 42 of his Atmopadesa Satakam.

Infinitely the way in which the knowing function becomes unfolded from the one Consciousness. They can be classified into two 'sama' and 'anya'. The knowing function in which one perceives many realities is called anya. The knowing function in which Reality is perceived as one is called sama (the same).

After indicating the two kinds of knowledge, the Guru says that the goal of the contemplative is not to give primacy to one or the other of the two rival aspects but to transcend them both through the neutral point of which he names as anya-sama.

The sama and anya kinds of knowledge also, each has a samanya side and a visesha side.

How Consciousness manifests itself as the known – the objective world is examined by the Guru in detail in chapter V entitled Bhana Darsanam of his Darșanamala.

Consciousness is effulgence in essence. It shines forth. Any_specifiable aspect of the shining processes incessantly happening in cit is known as bhâna. On the one side it shines forth inwardly as the subjective experience that we have examined in detail, on the other hand it shines forth outwardly as the objective experience - the world of the known.

The bhâna of cit has two facets samanyabhâna (generic shining forth) and Visesabhâna (specific shining forth). A forest seen as a whole is generic or universal, whereas each tree in it, seen separately is specific or particular. Also, every bhâna depends on something, yet another manifest form of the same cit. This factor is called bhânasraya (that on which bhâna depends).

Four kinds of bhâna are differentiated.
1. Shhula - bhâna, shining forth of cit as gross objective experience.
2. Sukshma bhâna – shining forth of cit as subtle objective experience.
4. Turiya-bhâna - shining forth of cit as the fourth state of mystical experience.

The Guru in his Darsanashala chapter VI entitled Jñâna Darsanam almost a similar division of knowledge is made as nirupâdhika jñâna (the unconditioned knowledge) and sôpâdhika jñâna (the conditioned knowledge). Knowledge not conditioned by subject and object is nirupâdhika jñâna (unconditioned knowledge). Knowledge so conditioned is sôpâdhika jñâna (conditioned knowledge). The Guru states parajñâna (transcendental knowledge) which is the direct experience of one’s self identity with Brahman, the ultimate Reality.

Another scheme of manifestation of Consciousness into objective and subjective worlds is given by the Guru himself in the last four verses of his work 'Arivu'.


Consciousness itself, as it functions assumes the form of the known (objective world) and subjective world. The known, thus emerged is of two kinds; the knowable and the unknowable. The knowable becomes Sub-divided into five sense-objects, again because Arivu is functional. The unknowable is not classified for the simple reason that it is not knowable.

The five kinds of knowable knowns, the one unknowable known, known hood and knowledge knowing these eight together constitute the known-world.

The same one Arivu on the subjective side becomes transformed as five-fold sense knowledge’s, the knowledge that there is unknowable, the knowledge I am the knower and knowledge of the event of knowing. These too are eight and they comprise the subjective world.

In the final verse, the Guru reminds us, such constituent factors become meaningful only as you subject the function of arivu to analysis, otherwise it remains one undivided whole.

Though all these analytical studies help us to have a clear understanding, really the one arivu and its manifest forms do not exist one without the other. This is yet another new idea contributed by the Guru to Vedanta.

4. Validity of knowledge

According to Narayana Guru, a certain and clear vision of ātma or Consciousness is not the outcome of any logically tenable means of knowledge (pramānās) but is an experiential illumination.

The validity of the pramānās – pratyaksha (perception), anumāna (inference), arthāpatti (presumption), anupalabdhi (non-apprehension) and upamāna (analogy) – is only in respect of the externally oriented knowledge, where someone sees something else. They never help us to attain self-knowledge. The only indirectly helpful pramāṇa is sruti (verbal testimony), for it is the words of instruction the seeker hears or reads from the authority of a realized person. But words do not make the seeker enlightened straight away; he will have to ponder over them meditatively to get the hidden meanings of the words revealed.

Hence in the context of the knower knowing himself, one’s attention becomes interiorized towards oneself. The effective use of the method results in the effacement of the seeker who becomes merged in what is sought. Intuitively perceiving the unitive Reality by making use of its own two manifest aspects of an opposite nature is the only method helpful in seeking Brahma and it is called yōga-buddhi in Bhagavad Gīta and dialectics in the Western philosophy.

Narayana Guru recommends the seeker of knowledge to perform certain Sadhanas in order to attain the final goal. One has to perform Tapas. Finally the seeker merges in the ultimate Truth. Then, no more duality will be there. Upanishadic saying is that one who knows Brahma becomes Brahma.

5. Conclusion

From the above understanding of Guru’s epistemology it is clear that he provides ample scope for the problem of consciousness such as the relation between subject and object, mind and matter, the origin of life from matter or Consciousness etc.

In the West Descartes, Kant, Sartre, Husserl, Thomas Nagel, David Chalmers and others tried to solve the problem in their own way. But they could not succeed in solving the problem because they were not ready to consider man as a pure being and the essence of the world as Consciousness.

According to the Guru, both subject and object are nothing but the one Arivu or Consciousness become manifest in two different modes; hence the two are really one in essence.

It is here that the Guru’s epistemological vision finds relevance. Having this holistic vision enables us to perceive all the trials and tribulations of life as well as the happiness we feel merely as part of the sport of but one Consciousness. This awareness alone ensures enduring peace in life.
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