

# On Fixed Point Results in Generalized Metric Space

Latpate V.V.<sup>1</sup> and Dolhare U.P.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ACS College Gangakhed,  
Gangakhed, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>DSM College Jintur,  
Jintur, Maharashtra, India

### Abstract

In this paper we prove a unique fixed point result of Kanan type map in Generalized Metric space (g.m.s.) where triangle inequality is replaced by rectangular property.

**Keywords:** -Generalized Metric Space, Contraction mapping, fixed point, T-Orbitally complete, Uniformly Locally Contractive mapping

Mathematics Subject classification:- 47H10,54H25

### 1. Introduction

The fixed point theory has generally developed in three main directions through generalization of conditions which guarantees existence and if possible uniqueness of fixed point.

If  $(X, d)$  is a Complete metric space.

$T : X \rightarrow X$  is a contraction mapping if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$$

for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$  then the very important result widely known as Banach Contraction mapping principle [3] states that  $T$  has a unique fixed point. Recently in 2000 a very interesting notion of generalized metric space was developed by Branciari [2]. Branciari generalized Banach Contraction Principle in Generalized metric space (g.m.s.). In 2002 P.Das. [5] generalized fixed point result in generalized metric space (g.m.s.). Later in 2009 Dorel Mihet [7] generalized Kanan fixed point theorem in this space.

### 2. Some preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** [2] :- Let  $X$  be any non empty set and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow R^+$  be a self map. Then  $d$  is said to be as Generalized metric or Generalized distance map if it satisfies following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in X$

$$(i) d(x, y) = 0 \text{ iff } x = y$$

$$(ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x) \text{ (symmetry)}$$

$$(iii) d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, w) + d(w, y) \text{ (quadrilateral/rectangular inequality)}$$

A set  $X$  equipped with metric  $d$  is said to be a Generalized metric space or (g.m.s.)

Remark:- Every metric space is a generalized metric space but the converse may not always be true.

**Definition 2.2** :- A metric  $d$  is said to have a semi triangular property if it satisfies

For all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$d(x, y) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ and } d(y, z) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ this gives } d(x, z) < \epsilon \tag{1}$$

**Definition 2.3** [1] :- Let  $X$  be a non empty set and  $f$  be a self map on  $X$ , then a point  $x \in X$  is called a fixed point of  $f$  if  $f(x) = x$ .

**Example 2.1** [1] If  $f$  is a self map on real numbers, defined by  $f(x) = x^2 - 3x + 4$ .

Then 2 is a fixed point of  $f$  because  $f(2) = 2$ .

**Definition 2.4** [6] :- Let  $T$  be a mapping of g.m.s.  $(X, d)$  into itself is called as T-Orbitally complete iff every Cauchy Sequence

$\{x_n\} \subseteq \{x, Tx, T^2x, T^3x, \dots\}$  for  $x \in X$  converges in  $X$  itself.

**Definition 2.5**:-  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  Uniformly locally contractive if it is locally contractive at all points of  $x \in X$  and  $\epsilon, \lambda$  do not depend on  $x$  i.e.

$$d(x, y) < \epsilon \Rightarrow d(Tx, Ty) < \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

We begin by recalling the fixed point theorem of Kanan in a g.m.s. [3] stated as

**Theorem 3.1** (Kanan fixed point theorem in complete metric space) Let  $X$  be a Complete metric Space and  $F : X \rightarrow X$  is a mapping such that,

$$d(Fx, Fy) \leq \beta [d(x, Fx) + d(y, Fy)], \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Where  $\beta \in ]0, 1[$ . Then  $f$  has a Unique fixed point in  $X$ .

By taking concept of  $F$ -orbitally Complete maps then a slight generalization of 3.1 is as follows.

**Theorem 3.2** [7] If  $(X, d)$  be a generalized metric space and  $F : X \rightarrow X$  is a mapping such that,

$$d(Fx, Fy) \leq \beta [d(x, Fx) + d(y, Fy)]$$

(2)

for all  $x, y \in X$

Where  $\beta \in ]0, 1[$ . If  $X$  is  $F$ -orbitally complete. Then  $F$  has a Unique fixed point in  $X$ . In our Result we show that the existence of a fixed point for a Kanan contraction in orbitally complete Generalized metric space is actually a generalization of Theorem 3.1.

### 3. Main Result

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a generalized metric space, and the mapping

$F : X \rightarrow X$  is uniformly locally contractive which satisfies (2).

Then  $F$  has a Unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof :-** Let  $x_0$  be any point in  $X$ . Let  $F(x_0) = x_1$ .

If  $x_1$  and  $x_0$  coincides then  $F(x_0) = x_1$

. It shows that  $x_0$  is a fixed

Point of  $F$ . And the theorem is obviously proved.

Let us suppose that  $x_1$  and  $x_0$  are distinct i.e.  $x_0 \neq x_1$

consider  $F(x_1) = x_2, F(x_2) = x_3, \dots$ ,

$F(x_n) = x_{n+1} = F^{n+1}x_0$  and  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$  for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

In this way we have a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in this manner.

Now consider

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n)$$

$$\leq \beta [d(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) + d(x_n, Fx_n)]$$

(Q by inequality (2))

$$\leq \beta [d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

Let us suppose that  $x_0$  is not a periodic point, and if  $x_n = x_0$ , then

We have,

$$d(x_0, Fx_0) = d(x_n, Fx_n) = d(F^n x_0, F^{n+1} x_0)$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} d(F^{n-1} x_0, F^n x_0)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^2 d(F^{n-1} x_0, F^{n-1} x_0)$$

$$\leq \dots$$

$$\leq \dots$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^n d(x_0, Fx_0)$$

(3)

$$\text{Let } \alpha = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \therefore \alpha < 1 \text{ and}$$

$$(1-\alpha^n) d(x_0, Fx_0) \leq 0$$

This gives  $d(x_0, Fx_0) \leq 0$

$\Rightarrow Fx_0 = x_0$ . It means  $x_0$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Now relation (2) gives

$$d(F^n x_0, F^{n+m} x_0) \leq \beta [d(F^{n-1} x_0, F^n x_0) + d(F^{n+m-1} x_0, F^{n+m} x_0)]$$

$$\leq \beta [\alpha^{n-1} d(x_0, Fx_0) + \alpha^{n+m-1} d(x_0, Fx_0)]$$

$$\therefore d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . As  $X$  is a Complete  $\therefore \exists$  a  $p \in X$  s.t.  $x_n \rightarrow p$

By using quadrilateral property of g.m.s. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F_p, p) &\leq d(F_p, F^n x_0) + d(F^n x_0, F^{n+1} x_0) + d(F^{n+1} x_0, p) \\ &\leq \beta [d(p, F_p) + d(F^{n-1} x_0, F^n x_0)] \\ &\quad + \alpha^n d(x_0, Fx_0) + d(F^{n+1} x_0, p) \\ &\leq \alpha d(F^{n-1} x_0, F^n x_0) + \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\beta} d(x_0, Fx_0) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-\beta} d(F^{n+1} x_0, p) \end{aligned}$$

So taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using the fact that

$$d(a_n, y) \rightarrow d(a, y) \text{ and } d(x, a_n) \rightarrow d(x, a) \text{ whenever } \{a_n\} \in X \text{ with } a_n \rightarrow a \in X$$

This gives  $p = Fp$ .

i.e.  $p$  is a fixed point of  $F$ .

**To prove uniqueness** If possible suppose that  $q \in X$  is another fixed point of

$$F \text{ i.e. } Fq = q$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} d(q, p) &= d(Fq, Fp) \\ &\leq \beta [d(q, Fq) + d(p, Fp)] \\ &\leq \beta [d(q, q) + d(p, p)] = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow p = q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Fixed point of  $F$  is Unique.

**Theorem 3.4 [6]** If  $F$  is an  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  uniformly locally contractive mapping defined on a  $F$ -orbitally complete,  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -chainable g.m.s.  $X$  satisfying (1). Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $(X, d)$  be a Complete metric space and  $F, T: X \rightarrow X$  be uniformly locally contractive mappings such that  $F$  is continuous, one to one.

If  $\beta \in [0, 1[$  and

$$d(FTx, FTy) \leq \beta [d(Fx, FTx) + d(Fy, FTy)] \quad (x, y \in X) \quad (4)$$

Then  $T$  has a Unique Fixed point.

**Proof** Let  $x_0$  be any in  $X$ . Let us define a iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by

$$Tx_n = x_{n+1} \quad (\text{likely } x_n = T^n x_0), n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Consider

$$d(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}) = d(FTx_n, FTx_{n+1}) \leq \beta [d(Fx_n, FTx_n) + d(Fx_{n+1}, FTx_{n+1})] \quad (Q \text{ by using (4)}) \quad (5)$$

$$\therefore d(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} d(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \therefore \alpha < 1$$

Using (6) and Induction, we have.

$$\begin{aligned} d(Fx_n, Fx_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha d(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n) \leq \alpha^2 d(Fx_{n-2}, Fx_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \alpha^n d(Fx_0, Fx_1) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For  $m > n$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{I}$  and by using (7) We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Fx_m, Fx_n) &\leq d(Fx_m, Fx_{m-1}) + d(Fx_{m-1}, Fx_{m-2}) + \dots + d(Fx_{n+1}, Fx_n) \\ &\leq [\alpha^{m-1} + \alpha^{m-2} + \dots + \alpha^n] d(Fx_0, Fx_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \alpha = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$$

$$= \alpha^n \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(Fx_0, Fx_1)$$

(8)

Taking limit as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  in (8), we have  $\{F x_n\}$  will be a Cauchy sequence, and since  $X$  is a Complete there exists  $q \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} Fx_n = q$$

(9)

Since  $F$  is subsequently convergent,  $\therefore \{x_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence.

$\therefore$  There exists  $p \in X$  and  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  s.t.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = p. \text{ Given } T \text{ is continuous and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = p \therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Fx_{n_k} = Fp$$

$\therefore$  using (9) We have  $Fp = q$

Consider  $d(FTp, Fp) \leq d(FTp, FT^n x_0) + d(FT^n x_0, FT^{n+1} x_0)$   
 $+ d(FT^{n+1} x_0, Tp)$

$$\leq \beta [d(Fp, FTp) + d(FT^n x_0, FT^{n+1} x_0)]$$

$$+ \alpha^n d(Fx_1, Fx_0) + d(Fx_{n+1}, Fp)$$

$$d(FTp, Fp) \leq \alpha^n d(Fx_1, Fx_0) + \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)^n d(Fx_1, Fx_0) + \frac{1}{1-\beta} d(Fx_{n+1}, Fp)$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (10), We have

$$d(FTp, Fp) = 0$$

Since F is one to one  $\therefore Tp = p$ . So T has a fixed point.

Uniqueness of fixed point can be easily obtained from

(4).

## References

- [1] Dolhare U.P. and Bele C.D.:- Generalization of Banach, Kanan and Chatterjee fixed point Theorem. International Journal of pure and Engineering Mathematics. Volume 4.No.1 April 2016, pp 27-34.
- [2] Branciari A., A fixed point theorem of Banach –Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces ,publ.Math. Debreen, 57 1-2 (2000)
- [3] S. Banach ,Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux quations intgrales, fund. Math 3 1922
- [4] Dorel Mihit, J. Nonlinear sci. Appl.2 (2009) no. 2 ,92-96
- [5] P.Das, A fixed point theorem on a class of generalized metric spaces, Korean J. Math, Sci.9(1) 2002.
- [6] P.Das. and L.K. Dey A fixed point theorem in a generalized metric spaces , Korean J. Math, Sci.
- [7] R. Kanan , some Results on fixed points, Bull. Cal.Math.S oc. ,(1968) 60,1- 2