

On Semi* δ - Homeomorphism in Topological Spaces

Reena C¹, Vijayalakshmi P² and S. Pious Missier³

¹ Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, St.Mary'sCollege,Tuticorin. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India

²M.Phil Scholar, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, St.Mary'sCollege,Tuticorin. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India

³ Associate Professor (Retd), PG and Research Department of Mathematics, V.O.C College,Tuticorin. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India.

Abstract: The purpose of this paper to introduce a new class of function namely semi* δ -homeomorphism and strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism and study their properties. Also we relate and compare these functions with some other functions in topological spaces.

Keywords: Semi* δ –homeomorphism, Strongly Semi* δ –homeomorphism

AMS subject classification : 54A05,54C08

1.INTRODUCTION

Many researchers have generalized the notion of homeomorphisms in topological spaces. Maki et al [3] introduced generalised homeomorphism which are generalizations of homeomorphism in topological spaces. The concept of semi* δ -open sets [4], have been initiated by Pious Missier .S and Reena .C. The purpose of this paper is to introduced a new class of functions called semi* δ -homeomorphism and strongly semi* δ –homeomorphism in topological spaces

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper (X, τ) , (Y, σ) and (Z, ω) or X, Y, Z represent non-empty topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset A of a space (X, τ) , $cl(A)$ and $int(A)$ denote the closure and interior of A respectively. The power set of X is denoted by $P(X)$.

Definition 2.1: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called a **semi* δ -open set** [2] if there exists a δ -open set U in X such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq Cl^*(U)$.

Definition 2.2: The **semi* δ -interior** [2] of A is defined as the union of all semi* δ -open sets of X contained in A . It is denoted by $s^*\delta Int(A)$.

Definition 2.3 : If A is a subset of a topological space X , the **semi* δ -closure** [5]of A is defined as the intersection of all semi* δ -closed sets in X containing A . It is denoted by $s^*\delta Cl(A)$.

Definition 2.4: A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **semi* δ -continuous** [4] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi* δ -open in (X, τ) for every open set V in (Y, σ) .

Definition 2.5: A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **semi* δ -open** [4] if $f(U)$ is semi* δ -open in Y for every open set U in X .

Definition 2.6: A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **semi* δ -closed** [5] if $f(F)$ is semi* δ -closed in Y for every closed set F in X .

Definition 2.7: A map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called a **Homeomorphism** [6] if f is both open and continuous.

Definition 2.8: A function is $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **semi-homeomorphism** [1] if f is both continuous and semi-open.

Definition 2.9: A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **semi* δ -irresolute** [4] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi* δ -open in (X, τ) for every semi* δ -open set V in (Y, σ) .

Theorem 2.10: [2] Every δ -open set is semi* δ -open.

Theorem 2.11: [2] In any topological space,

- (i) Every semi* δ -open set is δ -semi-open.
- (ii) Every semi* δ -open set is semi - open.
- (iii) Every semi* δ -open set is semi* - open.
- (iv) Every semi* δ -open set is semi*-preopen.
- (v) Every semi* δ -open set is semi-preopen.
- (vi) Every semi* δ -open set is semi* α -open
- (vii) Every semi* δ -open set is semi α -open.

3. SEMI* δ - HOMEOMORPHISM

Definition 3.1: A bijection $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called **semi* δ homeomorphisms** if f is both semi* δ - continuous and semi* δ - open.

Example 3.2: Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, Y\}$ $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, a\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, Y\}$ Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be defined by $f(a)=a, f(b)=c, f(c)=b$. Clearly f is semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.3: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a bijective, semi* δ -continuous map then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) f is a semi* δ -open map
- (ii) f is a semi* δ -homeomorphism
- (iii) f is a semi* δ - closed map

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) It is clearly by definition

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let F be a closed set in (X, τ) . Then F^c is open set in (X, τ) , By (ii), $f(F^c)$ is semi* δ open set in (Y, σ) . Since $f(F^c) = [f(F)]^c, [f(F)]^c$ is semi* δ -open set in (Y, σ) . That is $f(F)$ is semi* δ -closed in (Y, σ) . Therefore f is a semi* δ -closed map.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let f be a semi* δ -closed map. Let G be open set in (X, τ) , then G^c is closed set in (X, τ) . By hypothesis, $f(G^c)$ is semi* δ -closed in (Y, σ) , since $f(G^c) = [f(G)]^c, [f(G)]^c$ is semi* δ -closed in (Y, σ) . That is $f(G)$ is semi* δ -open in (Y, σ) . Therefore f is semi* δ -open map.

Theorem 3.4: Every δ -homeomorphisms is semi* δ -homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, δ -continuous and δ -open. Let V be an open set in Y . Since f is δ -continuous, $f^{-1}(V)$ is δ -open in X . Since, every δ -open set is semi* δ -open, by theorem 2.10. $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi* δ -open in X which implies f is semi* δ -continuous. Let W be an open set in X . Since, f is δ -open, $f(W)$ is δ -open in Y . Since every δ -open set is semi* δ -open, by theorem 2.10. $f(W)$ is semi* δ -open in Y which implies f is semi* δ -open. Thus, f is semi* δ -homeomorphism.

Remark 3.5: The converse of the above theorem need not be true

Example 3.6: Let $X=Y=\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$, $\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=f(c)=c, f(b)=f(d)=b$. Clearly, f is semi* δ -homeomorphisms. Here, $\{b\}$ is open in Y , but $f^{-1}(\{b\}) = \{b, d\}$ is not δ -open in X . Therefore, f is not δ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.7: Every semi* δ - homeomorphism is δ -semi homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an semi* δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, semi* δ -continuous and semi* δ -open. Let G be an open set in Y . Since f is semi* δ -continuous, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* δ -open in X . Since every semi* δ open set is δ -semi open, by theorem 2.11, $f^{-1}(G)$ is δ - semi open in X . Therefore f is δ -semi continuous. Let V be an open set in X . since f is semi* δ -open, $f(V)$ is semi* δ -open in Y . Since every semi* δ -open set is δ -semi open, by theorem 2.11, $f(V)$ is δ -semi open in Y . Therefore f is δ -semi-open. Thus, f is δ -semi homeomorphism.

Remark 3.8: The converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example 3.9: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$, $\delta\text{-SO}(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $\delta\text{-SO}(Y, \sigma) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=c, f(b)=b, f(c)=a, f(d)=d$. Clearly, f is δ -semi homeomorphism. Here, $\{a, b, c\}$ is open in X , but $f(\{a, b, c\}) = \{a, b, c\}$ is not semi* δ - open in Y . Therefore, f is not semi* δ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.10: Every semi* δ - homeomorphism is semi-homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an semi* δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, semi* δ -continuous and semi* δ -open. Let G be an open set in Y . Since f is semi* δ -continuous, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* δ -open in X . Since every semi* δ -open set is semi-open, by theorem 2.11, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi-open in X . Therefore f is semi-continuous. Let V be an open set in X . Since f is semi* δ -open, $f(V)$ is semi* δ -open in Y . Since every semi* δ -open set is semi open, by theorem 2.11, $f(V)$ is semi-open in

Y. Therefore f is semi-open. Thus, f is semi-homeomorphism.

Remark 3.11: The converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example 3.12: Let $X=Y= \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma =\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) =\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$, $SO(X, \tau)= \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $SO(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=b$, $f(b)=a$, $f(c)=d$, $f(d)=c$. Clearly, f is semi-homeomorphism. Here, $\{a\}$ is open in X , but, $f(\{a\})= \{b\}$ is not semi* δ - open in Y . Therefore f is not a semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.13: Every semi* δ -homeomorphism is semi*- homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an semi* δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, semi* δ -continuous and semi* δ -open. Let G be an open set in Y . Since f is semi* δ - continuous, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* δ -open in X . Since every semi* δ -open set is semi*-open, by theorem 2.11, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi*-open in X . Therefore f is semi*-continuous. Let V be an open set in X . Since f is semi* δ -open, $f(V)$ is semi* δ -open in Y . Since, every semi* δ -open set is semi*-open, by theorem 2.11, $f(V)$ is semi*-open in Y . Therefore f is semi-open. Thus, f is semi*-homeomorphism.

Remark 3.14: The converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example 3.15: Let $X =Y= \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $S^*O(X, \tau)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) =\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)= a$, $f(b)= c$, $f(c)=b$, $f(d)=d$. Clearly, f is semi*-homeomorphism. Here, $\{c\}$ is open in X , but, $f(\{c\})= \{b\}$ is not semi* δ - open in Y . Therefore f is not a semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.16: Every semi* δ - homeomorphism is semi*-pre homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an semi* δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, semi* δ -continuous and semi* δ -open. Let G be an open set

in Y . Since f is semi* δ - continuous, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* δ - open in X . Since every semi* δ - open set is semi*pre-open, by theorem 2.11, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi*pre-open in X . Therefore f is semi*pre-continuous. Let V be an open set in X . Since f is semi* δ - open, $f(V)$ is semi* δ -open in Y . Since, every semi* δ -open set is semi*pre-open, by theorem 2.11, $f(V)$ is semi*pre-open in Y . Therefore f is semi*pre-open. Thus, f is semi*pre-homeomorphism.

Remark 3.17: The converse of the above theorem is need not be true.

Example 3.18: Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*PO(X, \tau) =\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*PO(Y, \sigma) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=b$, $f(b)=a$, $f(c)=c$, $f(d)=d$. Clearly, f is semi*pre-homeomorphism. Here, $\{a\}$ is open in X , but, $f(\{a\})= \{b\}$ is not semi* δ - open in Y . Therefore f is not a semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.19: Every semi* δ - homeomorphism is semi pre-homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an semi* δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, semi* δ -continuous and semi* δ -open. Let G be an open set in Y . Since f is semi* δ - continuous, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* δ -open in X . Since every semi* δ -open set is semi pre-open, by theorem 2.11, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi pre-open in X . Therefore f is semi pre-continuous. Let V be an open set in X . Since f is semi* δ -open, $f(V)$ is semi* δ -open in Y . Since, every semi* δ -open set is semi pre-open, by theorem 2.11, $f(V)$ is semi pre-open in Y . Therefore f is semi pre-open. Thus, f is semi pre-homeomorphism.

Remark 3.20: The converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example 3.21: Let $X=Y= \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $SPO(X, \tau) =\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $SPO(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=c$, $f(b)=b$, $f(c)=a$, $f(d)=d$. Clearly, f is semi pre-homeomorphism. Here, $\{b\}$ is open in X , but,

$f(\{b\})= b$ is not semi* δ - open in Y . Therefore f is not a semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.22: Every semi* δ –homeomorphism is semi* α -homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an semi* δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, semi* δ -continuous and semi* δ -open. Let G be an open set in Y . Since f is semi* δ - continuous, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* δ -open in X . Since every semi* δ -open set is semi* α -open, by theorem 2.11, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* α -open in X . Therefore f is semi* α -continuous. Let V be an open set in X . Since f is semi* δ - open, $f(V)$ is semi* δ -open in Y . Since every semi* δ - open set is semi* α -open, by theorem 2.11, $f(V)$ is semi* α -open in Y . Therefore f is semi* α -open. Thus f is semi* α -homeomorphism.

Remark 3.23: The converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example 3.24: Let $X=Y= \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $S^*\alpha O(X, \tau)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\alpha O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=a, f(b)=c, f(c)=b, f(d)=d$. Clearly, f is semi* α -homeomorphism. Here, $\{a\}$ is open in X , but, $f(\{a\})= \{a\}$ is not semi* δ - open in Y . Therefore f is not a semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Theorem 3.25: Every semi* δ - homeomorphism is semi α -homeomorphism.

Proof: Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an semi* δ -homeomorphism, then f is bijective, semi* δ –continuous and semi* δ –open. Let G be an open set in Y . Since f is semi* δ -continuous, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi* δ –open in X . Since every semi* δ -open set is semi α -open, by theorem 2.11, $f^{-1}(G)$ is semi α -open in X . Therefore f is semi α -continuous. Let V be open set in X . Since f is semi* δ -open, $f(V)$ is semi* δ - open in Y . Since every semi* δ -open set is semi α -open, by theorem 2.11, $f(V)$ is semi α -open in Y . Therefore f is semi α -open. Thus, f is semi α -homeomorphism.

Remark 3.26: The converse of the above theorem need not be true.

Example 3.27: Let $X =Y= \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, $S\alpha O(X, \tau)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\},$

$\{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S\alpha O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=c, f(d)=d$. Clearly, f is semi α -open homeomorphism. Here, $\{a\}$ is open in X , but, $f(\{a\})= \{b\}$ is not semi* δ - open in Y . Therefore f is not a semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Remark 3.28: The concept of semi* δ -homeomorphism and homeomorphism are independent.

Example 3.29: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)= \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=d, f(b)=b, f(c)=a, f(d)=c$. Clearly f is semi* δ - homeomorphism. Here $\{a, b, c\}$ is open in X , but $f(\{a, b, c\}) = \{a, b, d\}$ not open in Y . Hence f is not an open –map. Therefore f is not homeomorphism

Example 3.30: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)= \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)= b, f(b)= a, f(c)=d, f(d)=c$. Clearly f is homeomorphism. Here $\{a, b, c\}$ is open in X , but $f(\{b\})=\{a\}$ not semi* δ - open in Y . Hence f is not semi* δ -open. Therefore f is not semi* δ -homeomorphism

Remark 3.31: The concept of semi* δ -homeomorphism and α -homeomorphism are independent.

Example 3.32: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$, $\alpha O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)= \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$, $\alpha O(Y, \sigma)=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)= c, f(b)= a, f(c)=d, f(d)=b$. Clearly f is α homeomorphism. Here $\{b\}$ is open in X , but $f(\{b\})=\{a\}$ not semi* δ -open in Y . Therefore f is not semi* δ - homeomorphism

Example 3.33: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)= \{\emptyset, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$, $\alpha O(X, \tau) =$

$\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $\alpha O(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=f(c)=c, f(b)=f(d)=b$. Clearly f is semi* δ -homeomorphism. Here $\{b\}$ is open in Y , but $f^{-1}(\{b\})=\{b, d\}$ not α -open in X . Hence f is not α -continuous. Therefore f is not α -homeomorphism

Remark 3.34: The concept of semi* δ -homeomorphism and α^* -homeomorphism are independent.

Example 3.35: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$ and $\sigma =\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$, $\alpha^*O(X, \tau) = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $\alpha^*O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=a, f(b)=b, f(c)=c, f(d)=d$. Clearly f is α^* -homeomorphism. Here $\{b\}$ is open in X , but $f(\{b\})=\{b\}$ not semi* δ -open in Y . Hence f is not open -map. Therefore f is not semi* δ -homeomorphism.

Example 3.36: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\varphi, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$, $\alpha^*O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $\alpha^*O(Y, \sigma)=P(X)$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=f(c)=c, f(b)=f(d)=b$. Clearly f is semi* δ -homeomorphism. Here $\{b\}$ is open in Y , but $f^{-1}(\{b\})=\{b, d\}$ not α^* -open in X . Hence f is not α^* -continuous. Therefore f is not α^* -homeomorphism

Remark 3.37: The concept of semi* δ -homeomorphism and pre-homeomorphism are independent.

Example 3.38: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$, $PO(X, \tau) = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$, $PO(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=b, f(b)=a, f(c)=c, f(d)=d$. Clearly f is pre-homeomorphism. Here $\{a\}$ is open in X , but $f(\{a\})=\{b\}$ is not semi* δ -open in Y . Therefore f is not semi* δ -homeomorphism

Example 3.39: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and

$\sigma = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau) = \{\varphi, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$, $PO(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $PO(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=f(c)=c, f(b)=f(d)=b$. Clearly f is semi* δ -homeomorphism. Here $\{b\}$ is open in Y , but $f^{-1}(\{b\})=\{b, d\}$ not pre-open in X . Hence f is not pre-continuous. Therefore f is not pre-homeomorphism

Remark 3.40: The concept of semi* δ -homeomorphism and pre*-homeomorphism are independent.

Example 3.41: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$, $P^*O(X, \tau) = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, Y\}$, $P^*O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=c, f(b)=b, f(c)=a, f(d)=d$. Clearly f is pre*-homeomorphism. Here $\{c\}$ is open in X , but $f(\{c\})=\{a\}$ not semi* δ -open in Y . Hence f is not open -map. Therefore f is not semi* δ -homeomorphism

Example 3.42: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$, $P^*O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $P^*O(Y, \sigma)=P(X)$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=f(c)=c, f(b)=f(d)=b$. Clearly f is semi* δ -homeomorphism. Here $\{b\}$ is open in Y , but $f^{-1}(\{b\})=\{b, d\}$ not pre*-open in X . Hence f is not pre*-continuous. Therefore f is not pre*-homeomorphism

Remark 3.43: The concept of semi* δ -homeomorphism and g -homeomorphism are independent.

Example 3.44: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma =\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$, $gO(X, \tau) = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, Y\}$, $gO(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, Y\}$. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=a, f(b)=c, f(c)=b, f(d)=d$. Clearly f is g -homeomorphism. Here $\{a\}$ is open in

X , but $f(\{a\})=\{a\}$ not semi* δ -open in Y . Therefore f is not semi* δ -homeomorphism

Example 3.45: Let $X=Y =\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{c\}, \{d\},\{a, c\},\{c, d\},\{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi,\{b\}, \{c\},\{b, c\} Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{d\}, \{a, c\}\{b, d\},\{a, b, c\},\{a, c, d\}, X\}$, $gO(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{d\},\{c, d\},\{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\},X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma) = \{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $P^*O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{a\},\{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\},\{a, b\}\{b, c\},\{c, d\},\{a, c\}\{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\{b, c, d\},Y\}$. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=f(c)=c$, $f(b)=f(d)=b$. Clearly f is semi* δ -homeomorphism. Here $\{b\}$ is open in Y , but $f^{-1}(\{b\})=\{b, d\}$ not g -open in X . Hence f is not g -continuous. Therefore f is not g -homeomorphism

Remark 3.46: The composition of two semi* δ -homeomorphism need not to be a semi* δ -homeomorphism.

Example 3.47: Let $X=Y=Z=\{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi,\{c\},\{d\},\{a, c\},\{c, d\},\{a, c, d\},X\}$ and $\sigma = \{\varphi,\{b\},\{c\},Y\}$, $\omega=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, Z\}$ $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{d\},\{a, c\},\{b, d\}\{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{b\},\{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(Z, \omega)=\{\varphi, \{a\},\{b\}, \{a, b\}, Z\}$. Let $f:(X, \tau)\rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=f(c)=c$, $f(b)=f(d)=b$. Clearly f is semi* δ -homeomorphism. Let $g:(Y, \sigma)\rightarrow(Z, \omega)$ be a map defined by $g(a)=c$, $g(b)=b$, $g(c)=a$, $g(d)=d$. Clearly, g is semi* δ -homeomorphism Here f and g are semi * δ -homeomorphism. But $(f \circ g)(\{c\})=f(g(c))=f(a)=c$. But $\{c\}$ is not semi* δ -open in (Z, ω) . Therefore $(f \circ g)$ is not semi* δ -homeomorphism.

4. STRONGLY SEMI* δ HOMEOMORPHISM

Definition 4.1: A bijection $f:(X,\tau)\rightarrow(Y,\sigma)$ is said to be **strongly semi* δ –homeomorphism** if both f and f^{-1} are semi* δ –Irresolute. We denote the family of all strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism of a topological space X into itself by **$s^*\delta -h^s(X)$** .

Example 4.2: Let $X=Y= \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\varphi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{a\},\{b\},\{a, b\},\{b, c\}\{a, c\}, X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)= \{\varphi, \{a\},\{c\}, \{a, b\},\{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a map defined by $f(a)=a$, $f(b)=c$, $f(c)=b$. Clearly, f is strongly semi* δ - homeomorphism.

Theorem 4.3: If $f:(X,\tau)\rightarrow(Y,\sigma)$ and $g:(Y,\sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \omega)$ are strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism then $(g \circ f):(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \omega)$ is also strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism.

Proof:

- (i) Let U be a semi * δ –open in Z . Now, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = f^{-1}(V)$ where $V = g^{-1}(U)$. By hypothesis, $V = g^{-1}(U)$ is semi* δ -open in Y and so again, by hypothesis $f^{-1}(V)$ is semi* δ -open in X . Thus $(g \circ f)$ is semi* δ - irresolute.
- (ii) Let G be a semi* δ -open in X . By hypothesis, $f(G)$ is semi* δ -open in Y . Again, by hypothesis $(g \circ f)(G) = g(f(G))$ is semi* δ -open in Z . Thus, $(g \circ f)^{-1}$ is semi* δ –irresolute. Hence $(g \circ f)$ strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism.

Theorem 4.4: Every strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism is semi* δ -irresolute.

Proof: It is the consequence of the definition.

Remark 4.5: The converse of the above theorem need be true.

Example 4.6: Let $X=Y= \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau=\{\varphi, \{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, c\},\{b, c\},\{a, b, c\},X\}$ and $\sigma=\{\varphi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$, $S^*\delta O(X, \tau)=\{\varphi, \{a\},\{b\},\{c\},\{a, b\},\{a, d\},\{b, c\},\{c, d\},\{a, c\},\{b, d\},\{a, b, c\}\{a, b, d\},\{a, c, d\},\{b, c, d\}X\}$ and $S^*\delta O(Y, \sigma)=\{\varphi, \{b\},\{c\}, \{b, c\}, Y\}$. Let $f:(X, \tau)\rightarrow(Y, \sigma)$ be an identity map. Clearly, f is semi* δ - irresolute. But for the open set $\{b\}$ in (X, τ) , $(f^{-1})^{-1}(\{a\}) = f(\{a\}) = a$ is not open in (Y, σ) .

Theorem 4.7: The set $s^*\delta-h^s(X)$ is a group under the composition of maps.

Proof: Define a binary operation ‘ $*$ ’ as follows. $*$: $s^*\delta -h^s(X) \times s^*\delta -h^s(X) \rightarrow s^*\delta-h^s(X)$, by $f * g = g \circ f$ for all $f, g \in s^*\delta-h^s(X)$ and o is the usual operation of composition of maps. By the above result $g \circ f \in s^*\delta-h^s(X)$. We know that the composition of maps are associative and the identity map $I: X \rightarrow X \in s^*\delta-h^s(X)$ serves as the identity element. If $f \in s^*\delta -h^s(X)$ then $f^{-1} \in s^*\delta-h^s(X)$ such that $f \circ f^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ f = I$ and so inverse exists for each element of $s^*\delta-h^s(X)$. Therefore, $s^*\delta-h^s(X)$ is a group under the composition of maps.

Theorem 4.8: Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism. Then f induces an isomorphism from the group $s^*\delta-h^s(X)$ onto the group $s^*\delta-h^s(Y)$.

Proof: Using the map f , we define a map $\psi_f : s^*\delta-h^s(X) \rightarrow s^*\delta-h^s(Y)$ by $\psi_f(\phi) = f \circ \phi \circ f^{-1}$ for every $\phi \in s^*\delta-h^s(X)$. By theorem 4.6, ψ_f is well defined in general, because $f \circ \phi \circ f^{-1}$ is a strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism for every strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$. We have to show that ψ_f is a bijective homeomorphism. Bijection of ψ_f

is clear. Further for all $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in s^*\delta^{-h^s}(X)$, $\psi_f(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2) = f \circ (\phi_1 \circ \phi_2) \circ f^{-1} = (f \circ \phi_1 \circ f^{-1}) \circ (f \circ \phi_2 \circ f^{-1}) = \psi_f(\phi_1) \circ \psi_f(\phi_2)$. Therefore, ψ_f is a homeomorphism and hence it induces an isomorphism induced by f .

Theorem 4.9: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism then $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B))$ for all $B \subseteq Y$.

Proof: Since f is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism, f is semi* δ -irresolute. Since $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f(B))$ is a semi* δ -closed set in Y , $f^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f(B)))$ is semi* δ -closed in (X, τ) . Now $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B))$ and so by theorem 4.5 [6], $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B))$. Again since f is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism, f^{-1} is semi* δ -irresolute. Since $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B))$ is semi* δ -closed in X , $(f^{-1})^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B))) = f(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B)))$ is semi* δ -closed in Y . Now $B \subseteq (f^{-1})^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq (f^{-1})^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B))) = f(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B)))$ and so $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B) \subseteq f(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B)))$. Hence $f^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f^{-1}(B))$ and hence the equality holds.

Corollary 4.10: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism then $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f(B)) = f(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B))$ for all $B \subseteq X$.

Proof: Since $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism, $f^{-1}: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is also strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism. Therefore by the above theorem $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(((f^{-1})^{-1})(B)) = (f^{-1})^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B))$ for all $B \subseteq X$. That is $s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f(B)) = f(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B))$.

Corollary 4.11: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism, then $f(s^*\delta \text{ int}(B)) = s^*\delta \text{ int}(f(B))$ for all $B \subseteq X$.

Proof: For any set $B \subseteq X$, $(s^*\delta \text{ int}(B))^c = s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B^c)$. Thus $s^*\delta \text{ int}(B) = (s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B^c))^c$. Then $f(s^*\delta \text{ int}(B)) = f((s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B^c))^c) = (f(s^*\delta \text{ cl}(B^c)))^c = (s^*\delta \text{ cl}(f(B^c)))^c = s^*\delta \text{ int}(f(B))$.

Corollary 4.12: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism, then $f^{-1}(s^*\delta \text{ int}(B)) = s^*\delta \text{ int}(f^{-1}(B))$ for all $B \subseteq Y$.

Proof: If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism then $f^{-1}: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is also strongly semi* δ -homeomorphism, the proof follows from the above corollary.

REFERENCES

- [1] Levine. N, Semi – open sets and semi – continuity in topological spaces, Amer.Math. (1963), 36-41.
- [2] Pious Missier.S and Reena.C, On Semi* δ -Open Sets in Topological Spaces, IOSR Journal
- [3] Pious Missier S and Robert A, More functions associated with semi-star-open sets, IJSIMR, Vol 2(5), May 2014, 460-468.
- [4] Pious Missier.S and Reena.C, Continuous and Irresolute Functions Via Star Generalised Closed Sets, IJMER- 7(2),Feb 2017, 58-64.
- [5] Pious Missier, S, Reena, C &Mahadevan, G, 2017, ‘Contra Semi* δ -Continuous Functions in Topological Spaces’, International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications, Vol. 5, no. 4-A, pp 19-30.
- [6] Willard, S., General Topology, Addison – Wesley Publishing Company, Inc.(1970).