

# Molecular Detection of RhlB Gene from Biosurfactant Producing *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* Isolated From Oil Contaminated Soil

Sk. Jasmine shahina<sup>1\*</sup>, Ayisha bivi.m<sup>2</sup>, summera rafiq<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology,  
Justice Basheer Ahmed Sayeed College for Women, Chennai-18.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology,  
Justice Basheer Ahmed Sayeed College for Women, Chennai-18.

<sup>3</sup>Head & Associate Professor, Department of Microbiology,  
Justice Basheer Ahmed Sayeed College for Women, Chennai-18.

## Abstract

Biosurfactants are the compound which helps in reducing surface tension. These compounds help in stabilizing emulsions, promoting foaming, and reducing surface tension etc. A total of 50 samples were collected from different soils contaminated with oil in Chennai area. 32/50(64%) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were isolated from oil contaminated soil samples. The surface tension of the medium was found ranging from 0.020 to 0.071N/m-1. Among 32 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates, 21/32(66%) of them reduced the surface tension. All the 32 isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produced beta hemolytic colonies on blood agar which includes the biosurfactant producing strains. All the 21 biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates showed good antagonistic activity against *S.aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis* and showed less activity with *E.coli*. The biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produced a clear zone (2.8mm) in oil spreading assay. As an outcome of our work, E24 was found to be highest for petrol with 71% followed by kerosene (63%) and diesel (57%). rhlB gene was detected in 21 isolates, which was about 226bp in size.

**Keywords:** *Biosurfactant*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Emulsification test*, *rhlB gene*.

## 1. Introduction

Biosurfactants are amphipathic (Lang, 2002) surface active molecules produced extracellularly by various bacteria, fungi and yeasts (Arimaet al., 1968). They can reduce surface and interfacial tension which makes them potential candidates in enhancing oil

recovery and bio emulsification processes (Lin, 1996). Now a days, increased use of petroleum hydrocarbons and oils as main energy sources has increased the risk of leakage of these products while transportation, leading to soil and water contamination; which is not beneficial for human beings, plants, animals and microbes.

Biosurfactant producing microorganisms are naturally present in the oil contaminated soil. Oil contaminated environment contain large amount of hydrocarbons (Suganya, 2013). Bacteria produces biosurfactants which helps them in emulsifying the hydrocarbons and utilize them as substrate by mineralizing them converting them into harmless product.

Rhamnolipid and surfactin are the important classes of biosurfactants which have been widely studied. The most commonly isolated biosurfactants are glycolipids and lipopeptides. They include rhamnolipids released by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Nitschke et al., 2005), sophorolipids from *Candida* sp. (Daverey, & Pakshirajan, 2009), as well as surfactin produced by *Bacillus subtilis* strains (Ahimou et al., 2000). This study was therefore taken up to screen biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from oil contaminated soils and molecular detection of rhlB gene.

## 3. Materials and Methods

### a) Sample collection:

We collected 50 soil samples from different oil contaminated areas in Chennai. They were obtained

from a depth of 2-3 inches from the ground level and collected in a sterile polythene bag and were taken to the laboratory and processed.

**b) Processing of the sample:**

one gram of each soil sample was added into 50 mL of minimal salt medium (Tahzibiet al., 2004) containing (g/L); 15 g NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 1.1 g KCl, 1.1 g NaCl, 0.00028 g FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 3.4 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 4.4 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.5 g yeast extract at 37°C in shaker incubator (100 rpm). After 48 h of incubation, the sample was serially diluted using sterile saline (0.85% NaCl) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was selected based on the colony morphology, gram staining and pigment production on nutrient agar. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was identified as per the standard biochemical methods. The selected isolates were screened for the production of biosurfactants using following screening methods.

**c) Screening for the production of Biosurfactant**

Screening for the production of biosurfactant in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was done by the following methods-Surface tension, Antagonistic test, Haemolytic test and Emulsifying test.

**i) Surface tension:**

Based on the surface tension reducing capacity of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on oils was used to screen the bio surfactants and it was calculated by using standard drop weight method (Makkar, & Cameotra, 1997, Falatko, 1991).

Mass of one drop of the medium,

$$m = W_2 - W_1 / \text{total droplet.}$$

Where,

W<sub>2</sub> : weight of the sample with beaker.

W<sub>1</sub> : weight of the empty beaker.

**ii) Haemolytic test:**

Pure culture of bacterial isolates were streaked on the freshly prepared blood agar and incubated at 37°C for 48-72 h. Results were recorded based on the hemolytic pattern (Mulligan et al., 1989).

**iii) Antagonistic test:**

The antagonistic property of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was tested with *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, for the antimicrobial activity of the bio surfactant produced in the medium (Abalset al., 2001).

**d) Oil Spreading Assay:**

10 µl of crude oil was added to the surface of 30 ml of distilled water in a petridish to form a thin oil layer. 10 µl of culture or culture supernatant was gently placed on the centre of the oil layer. If biosurfactant was found in the supernatant, the oil was displaced and a clearing zone was formed. The diameter of this clearing zone on the oil surface correlates to surfactant activity, also called oil displacement activity (Jain et al., 1991).

**e) Emulsification test (E24):**

Several colonies of pure culture were suspended in test tubes containing 2 ml of mineral salt medium. After 48 h of incubation, 2 ml hydrocarbon (oil) was added to each tube. Then, the mixture was vortexed at high speed for 1 min and allowed to stand for 24 h. The emulsion index (E24) is the height of the emulsion layer (cm) divided by total height (cm), multiplied by 100 (Bodouret al., 2004).

$$\text{Emulsification index}(E24) = \frac{HEL}{\text{total height}} \times 100$$

Where

HEL - Height of the emulsion layer

Molecular detection of rhlB gene from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: 1.5 ml of overnight broth cultures were taken in micro centrifuge tubes to isolate the genomic DNA by using modified method of Ochsenret al., (1994). The isolated DNA samples were run in agarose gel electrophoresis there the dark orange bands were observed under UV trans-illuminator and isolated samples were subjected to PCR analysis to detect the rhlB gene with the help of kpd1 (forward primer: 5\_ GCC CAC GAC CAG TTC GAC 3\_) and kpd2 (reverse primer: 5\_ CAT CCC CCTCCC TAT GAC 3\_) primers which has homologous sequence with 1030-1048 bp region of the rhlB gene. Amplification was done with the following PCR cycling conditions: initial denaturation: 94°C, 2 mins, de-naturation: 94°C, 15 sec, annealing: 54°C, 15 sec, extension: 72°C, 15 sec, final extension: 72°C, 2 mins. The final PCR product was ran in 1% agarose in TE buffer and the gel was stained with ethidium bromide. After the completion of reaction, add 5 µl of loading dye, mix it and load the total 25 µl into agarose gel electrophoresis.

## 4. Results and Discussion

A total of 50 soil samples were collected from different oil contaminated areas in Chennai.

32/50(64%) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were isolated from oil contaminated soil samples. The viable cells ranging from  $1.9 \times 10^6$  to  $7.3 \times 10^7$  CFU/gm were isolated from oil contaminated soil.

a) Surface tension:

Surface tension was determined and the values were determined and compared with the control media. The surface tension of the medium was found ranging from 0.020 to 0.071N/m-1. Among 32 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates, 21/32(66%) of them reduced the surface tension.

b) Haemolytic test:

In general all the 32 isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produced beta hemolytic colonies on blood agar which includes the biosurfactant producing strains.

c) Antagonistic test:

This test was done to detect the antagonistic effect of rhamnolipid produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in fermented media. All the 21 biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates showed good antagonistic activity against *S.aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis* and showed less activity with *E.coli*.

d) Oil Spreading Assay

Oil displacement tests are indicative of the surface and wetting activities. All the 21 biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produced a clear zone (2.8mm) in Oil spreading assay.

e) Emulsification test (E24)

All the 21 biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates were tested for their emulsifying activity using kerosene, petrol and diesel. Of all the three different oils tested E24 was found to be highest for petrol with 71% followed by kerosene (63%) and diesel (57%).

Molecular detection of rhlB gene From *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*:

Molecular detection of rhlB gene was done for all 32 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates. rhlB gene was detected in 21 isolates, which was about 226bp in size. The isolates which harboured rhlB gene were positive biosurfactant producers.

Surfactants are usually organic compounds containing both hydrophobic group and hydrophilic

group (Pornsunthorntaweet al., 2008). Surfactants may act as detergents, wetting agents, emulsifiers, foaming agents, and dispersants. Bio-surfactants are surface active substances synthesized by living cells; they are generally nontoxic and biodegradable. Interest in microbial surfactants has been steadily increasing in recent years due to their diversity, environmentally friendly nature, possibility of large-scale production, selectivity, performance under extreme conditions, and potential applications in environmental protection (Banat et al., 2000 & Youssef et al., 2004).

In our study, a total of 50 samples were collected from different oil contaminated areas in Chennai. 32/50(64%) of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were isolated from oil contaminated soil samples. The viable cells ranging from  $1.9 \times 10^6$  to  $7.3 \times 10^7$  CFU/gm were isolated from oil contaminated soil. This was found to be in agreement with that of Anandarajand Thivakaran, (2010).

The surface tension of the medium was found ranging from 0.023 to 0.069N/m-1. Among 32 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates, 21/32(66%) of them reduced the surface tension. All the 32 isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produced beta hemolytic colonies on blood agar which includes the biosurfactant producing strains. This was found to be in agreement with that of Branch (2012). All the 21 biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates showed good antagonistic activity against *S.aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis* and showed less activity with *E.coli*. The biosurfactant producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produced a clear zone (2.8mm) in oil spreading assay. This was found to be in consistent with that of Chandran & Das, (2010). E24 was found to be highest for petrol with 71% followed by kerosene (63%) and diesel (57%). This was found to be in agreement with that of Okerentugba, & Ezeronye, (2003).

Molecular detection of rhlB gene was done for all 32 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates. rhlB gene was detected in 21 isolates, which was about 226bp in size. The isolates which harboured rhlB gene were positive biosurfactant producers. This was found to be in agreement with Mathiyazhaganet al., (2011).

## 5. Conclusion

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from oil contaminated soil showed biosurfactant producing ability. rhlB gene was detected in 21 isolates, which was about 226bp in size. Biosurfactant are used in oil industry, foods, cosmetics, pharmacology and environmental technology because of their ability to

stabilize emulsions. The features that make them commercially promising alternatives to chemically synthesized surfactants are their lower toxicity, higher biodegradability and greater environmental compatibility.

## References

- [1] Abalos A, Pinazo A, Infante M. R, Casals M, Garcia F, & Manresa A. (2001). Physicochemical and antimicrobial properties of new rhamnolipids produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AT10 from soybean oil refinery wastes. *Langmuir*, 17(5), 1367-1371.
- [2] Ahimou F, Jacques P, & Deleu, (2000) M Surfactin and iturin A effects on *Bacillus subtilis* surface hydrophobicity. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 27(10), 749-754.
- [3] Anandaraj B, & Thivakaran P,(2010) Isolation and production of biosurfactant producing organism from oil spilled soil. *J Biosci Tech*, 1(3), 120-126.
- [4] Arima K, Kakinuma A., & Tamura G.. (1968) Surfactin, a crystalline peptide lipid surfactant produced by *Bacillus subtilis*: Isolation, characterization and its inhibition of fibrin clot formation. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, 31(3), 488-494.
- [5] Banat I. M., Makkar, R. S., & Cameotra, (2000) Potential commercial applications of microbial surfactants. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 53(5), 495-508.
- [6] Branch T, Biosurfactan production by *Bacillus* sp. isolated from petroleum contaminated soils of Sirri Island. *American Journal of applied sciences*, 9(1), 1-6.
- [7] Chandran P, & Das N. I, (2010) Biosurfactant production and diesel oil degradation by yeast species *Trichosporonasahii* isolated from petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated soil. *Int J EngSciTechnol*, 2(12), 6942-6953.
- [8] Daverey A, & Pakshirajan K. (2009), Production, characterization, and properties of sophorolipids from the yeast *Candida bombicola* using a low-cost fermentative medium. *Applied biochemistry and biotechnology*, 158(3), 663-674.
- [9] Falatko D.M, (1991)Effect of biologically reduced surfactants on the mobility and biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons. M.S.thesis. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Black-burg, VA.
- [10] Jain D. K, Collins-Thompson D. L, Lee H, & Trevors J. T,(1991) A drop-collapsing test for screening surfactant-producing microorganisms. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 13(4), 271-279
- [11] Lang, S..(2002) Biological amphiphiles (microbial biosurfactants). *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*, 7(1-2), 12-20.
- [12] Lin S.(1996) Biosurfactants: recent advances. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 66(2), 109-120.
- [13] Makkar R.S, & Cameotra S.S. (1997)Biosurfactant production by a thermophilic *Bacillus subtilis* strain. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 18(1), 37-42.
- [14] Mathiyazhagan N., Danashekar K, & Natarajan, D. (2011) Amplification of biosurfactant producing gene (rhlb) from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from oil contaminated soil. *International Journal of pharma and bioscience*, 2(1), 497-504.
- [15] Mulligan C. N., Chow T. Y. K, & Gibbs, B. F. (1989) Enhanced biosurfactant production by a mutant *Bacillus subtilis* strain. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 31(5-6), 486-489.
- [16] Nitschke M, Costa S. G, & Contiero J. (2005) Rhamnolipid surfactants: an update on the general aspects of these remarkable biomolecules. *Biotechnology Progress*, 21(6), 1593-1600.
- [17] Okerentugba P. O, &Ezeronye O. U, (2003) Petroleum degrading potentials of single and mixed microbial cultures isolated from rivers and refinery effluent in Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2(9), 288-292.
- [18] Suganya, R. S. (2013) Screening optimization and production of biosurfactants from *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* species. *International Journal of current pharmaceutical Research*, 5(1), 10-23.
- [19] Tahzibi, A., Kamal, F., &MazaheriAssadi, M. (2004) Improved production of rhamnolipids by a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mutant. *Iranian Biomedical Journal*, 8(1), 25-31.
- [20] Youssef, N. H., Duncan, K. E., Nagle, D. P., Savage, K. N., Knapp, R. M., & McInerney, M. J.(2004) Comparison of methods to detect biosurfactant production by diverse microorganisms. *Journal of microbiological methods*, 56(3), 339-347.